National Alliance BULLETIN

POST OFFICE BOX 172 • LAUREL BLOOMERY • TN 37680 • USA

February 2016

Legal Defense Fund

Chairman Williams has pressed forward for as long as he could without asking members and supporters for financial help for the various legal issues the National Alliance has been forced to deal with. Until now, he has mostly been spending his own savings for the attorneys he has retained, but, as legal cases are coming to a head, now is the time to reach out for assistance, not just from our loyal dues-paying supporters, but from the public that may visit our Web sites.

On the 24th of February we added a new Legal Defense Fund section to our Web sites, with the following message:

The National Alliance is not only fighting for the minds and hearts of our people in the arena of words and ideas; we are fighting in the legal arena as well. Malicious lawsuits, hostile infiltrators' false accusations, Jewish corruption and abuse of law enforcement and the legal process — all are constant threats. To keep our organization strong and growing, and to make our uncompromising voice a permanent part of the social landscape, we have an ongoing need for legal defense.

Currently, the Alliance is fighting a legal battle on three fronts: 1) a lawsuit which began as an effort to oust a former Chairman has now metastasized into a malicious effort to seize National Alliance assets with the assistance of operatives of the Jewish-run SPLC; 2) an attempt has been made by these same operatives to illegally commandeer the corporate authority of the Alliance through the filing of false corporate papers; 3) in Canada, the Alliance is opposing Jewish interest groups in the courts, fighting in a precedent-setting case for the right of a member to leave a substantial bequest to the Alliance.

We can win these battles — and win them decisively. But only with your help.

We are totally dependent on your support to remain in the fight. You can donate by visiting:

http://natall.com/legal/

or send contributions to National Alliance Legal Defense Fund, Box 172, Laurel Bloomery TN 37680.

We thank you for your much-needed help!

At one time when Dr. Pierce was Chairman of our Alliance the organization had enough members so that it actually had a Legal Unit comprised of about a dozen or so lawyers, law students, paralegals, law enforcement officials, and even a sitting judge. These legal minds could put their heads together to deal with legal issues as they arose — and there were plenty to deal with. We hope to be able to have such a legal team again as our numbers grow. We are fortunate to have several attorneys helping us now, but mostly not from our own ranks. That is all right, because they are representing us well despite our unpopular, politically incorrect positions on the taboo topics of race, religion, and politics that "those cold and timid souls" among our people avoid out of fear.

News on the Legal Fronts

There is not much news to report on the ongoing legal cases this month. One of the feckless coup plotters in

West Virginia, Michael Oljaca (aka Michael Olanich), Garland Corse's young boyfriend, was ordered by the court to vacate the Alliance's gate house and to return the two sets of keys that had been stolen by Corse. The plotters, including co-conspirator Bob Demarais, hired an attorney for $4,000 to try to "stay" (that is, delay) the court orders. A hearing was scheduled in West Virginia Circuit Court for February 26 for their motion. We were well prepared to prevail in that hearing, but it has been postponed because of inclement weather. We will report on the results of that hearing in the March **BULLETIN**.

Results of the *Plea in Bar and Demurrer* (essentially a motion to dismiss) that our attorney scheduled against the National Alliance Reform and Restoration Group (NARRG) plaintiffs is scheduled for March 8 in Virginia Circuit Court. The results will be reported here next month.

Word should come to us soon from Canada as to whether or not the Canadian Supreme Court will hear, and possibly reverse, the adverse ruling of lower courts denying late Alliance member Dr. Robert McCorkill's considerable bequest to our organization. It was ruled that Dr. McCorkill couldn't leave his estate to the National Alliance because it would be "against public policy" for anyone to be allowed to leave a bequest to a "hate group." This outrageous nullification of a man's Last Will and Testament has a profound and negative impact on property rights and free speech. If accepted by the Supreme Court, the case might take two more years before being ruled upon; if denied, the estate will go into probate and the National Alliance will likely be reimbursed for expenses incurred, such as Dr. McCorkill's burial expenses and years of appraisals and storage fees for the many valuable artifacts he collected.

Who Rules America? Project

Four members have volunteered to work on the project to research and rewrite what has arguably been the Alliance's most important publication for mass distribution: our research report and exposé of Jewish media control entitled *Who Rules America?* (WRA). An edition of WRA was published by National Vanguard Books in 2010 but was not up to the standard of accuracy of earlier editions.

Since then, the media have gone through a sea change in both ownership and structure, with new media evolving, new companies emerging, and numerous acquisitions and mergers. The new WRA will take those changes into account.

More volunteers are wanted to help with this project. Step up and be a part of our work if you have the time and desire to help. Researching, fact-checking, and working as a team member on an important project like this gives one a sense of real accomplishment for the cause — and can be fun, too.

CD Series

Volumes 1 and 2 of our CD series *William Pierce: The Power of Truth* were advertised in the November and January **BULLETIN**s. Volume 1 was very popular and the first pressing was soon sold out, but we ordered a second run and have now replenished our supply. Volume 2 is now in stock and pre-orders are being

shipped as we write. Details for purchase of these CDs can be found at

http://natall.com/cd-offer

We hope to be able to offer Volume 3 in this series in the March **BULLETIN**.

Correspondence

Dear Chairman Williams: Wonderful reply I received from you today. I liked the statements of your wife in [that earlier] SPLC article. How wonderful for you both to be able to work together on something so important. I'm glad for her, especially. My husband and I are well matched in the ideology department, as well. There's no substitute for that. I do hope that there is a happy confluence of needs and service that can happen — one never knows what the future will bring and I'm a believer in the law of unintended consequences. You are doing everything right, keeping an open mind and heart, and an acquisitive eye open for talent and opportunities for constructive progress. You have good instincts. Everything you are doing now is quite literally historical in scope and impact.

I think that there is such a potential for the awakening of White America. The Marxist clowns have been pushing so hard that they are in danger of rousing the sleeping giant. It all works to our benefit.

Recently, I listened to one of William Pierce's talks that frightened me down to my bones, not on an emotional level, but a purely intellectual one based on facts. It doesn't matter which one it was, but it does matter that his vision is accurate and powerful. His intellect and spirituality were very advanced and I sincerely doubt there are many people who even begin to scratch the surface of it. — *A.B., New Mexico*

Over the years I've toured Stormfront, Skadi and some other [Internet] forums. This is my first post at this one. This recent scenario with the National Alliance is particularly disturbing and complex for me. My grandfather was a member of the Alliance back in the early 80s. I've always had immense respect for Dr. Pierce's work and when I received word that the Alliance had returned under Williams' leadership back in '14, I was overjoyed. I continued postponing joining in a bid to try and determine the direction in which the Alliance was headed. Then, just as I was preparing for membership last year, this recent [SPLC controversy] involving Will Williams unfolded. Now, I do not take SPLC tabloid-esque smears seriously and always bear in mind that there are two sides to every story. I've tried my best to follow both Williams' side of the story as well as other angles in trying to determine exactly what is going on. Quite frankly, I'm at a loss. As it stands, it seems that Chairman Williams is prohibited from entering the grounds of the National Alliance headquarters (I think) and I'm not sure what is going to become of the Alliance in the aftermath of recent events. If anyone here can offer suggestions, advice, or guidance on this unfolding saga, it'd be much appreciated. — *R.S., perplexed observer on the Internet*

Dear Chairman Williams: Enclosed is a check for $300. Please send me Volumes 1 and 2 of the CD series *William Pierce: The Power of Truth* and keep the rest as a donation to support your vital work. I'm basically an obscure supporter of the National Alliance and I judge people and events by what I read on the Internet and in the NA **BULLETIN**. From time to time out of curiosity I logged on to the NARRG Web site. The NARRG items about your recent run-ins with the law [reprinted from the SPLC site] made me stop and think about whether the National Alliance was worthy of my support. As an outsider looking in from a distance, I wondered, what is the truth of the matter? I can only go by circumstantial evidence, and the clincher to me is the simple fact that NARRG has posted some really vicious comments about you and Kevin [Strom] on their blog, whereas you have refrained from doing that in the **BULLETIN**, even while telling your side of the whole sorry story. That goes a long way in telling me where the truth lies. In reading [NARRG leader] Jim Ring's pieces [I'd thought] he was a man of honor and far-sighted vision, but his recent actions belie this. All I can say is I thought I understood people but I guess I don't. I would be happy to see you resolve your dispute with the NARRG people and work together instead of wasting so much time and money in the dysfunctional "justice" system, but from what I understand you have already tried this approach and it failed. What else can I say except that I will continue to support the Alliance as my means allow, and look forward to its growth and progress. With best wishes, — *J.M., New York*

Chairman Williams: I hope you are well. I know nothing of what happened to the National Alliance after Dr. Pierce died. I don't know who the NARRG are (or whatever the organization that is trying to

take away the NA). I made the mistake of going on Stormfront [discussion board] this morning and reading through a thread called "National Alliance Radio Network (special thanks to Will Williams)" with attacks on you and total disrespect. I see from another thread on Stormfront that you have some legal problems with this organization (of which I know nothing of and like it that way). Also, some member on Stormfront are claiming that your personal emails are being leaked, with the finger pointed at the natall.com server. How the hell can we Whites win at anything with such negativity? Stormfront is a mosh pit at times, and a great place to get hurt.

Good luck friend. I wish I could send you a million bucks, but I can't. — *Mike, UK*

Chairman Williams responds: The Internet is a wonderful tool for Alliance-building. Consider how many new people we are reaching with our Web sites, the weekly *American Dissident Voices* radio show, our radio network, etc. We just received a new membership application today from a young lady in Nevada, A.F. She hadn't learned about the Alliance from an existing member, but from "personal research for like-minded folk." I assume that research was online since she provides an email address. Welcome, A.F.!

But there is a downside: The anonymity provided by so-called "White nationalist" discussion boards where our enemies (often falsely claiming to be on our side) and critics can attack and smear us, make up stories, and spread demoralizing rumors with impunity. Our supporters, Mike in the United Kingdom, and J.M in New York, and curious R.S., whose grandfather was an Alliance member in the 1980s, are exemplars of many similar inquiries we see: They visit Stormfront or other discussion boards and blogs, and read negative articles and comments about the Alliance, thus raising questions and doubts. R.S. does not get our monthly **BULLETIN** so has no idea what to believe. But if he can be contacted privately by an Alliance member who can gain his trust through sincerity and truthfulness, and then be recruited as a supporter or member, then he would no longer have to depend on an outside, mostly hostile discussion board for answers. He'd be in the Alliance *in-group* instead of the *out-group*, looking in, wondering "Who's right?"

Almost all of our followers in good standing are loyal, understand what we report in our internal monthly **BULLETIN**, and don't go on Internet gossip sites — and so are not affected by outside Internet rumors. M.G., below, in Canada, has no doubts about us — it helps that we've met — and he reaches out to folks on the Internet like R.S. who may have doubts, and disabuses them of those doubts and lets them know what's really going on. He is an effective recruiter. A.B. in New Mexico is an excellent communicator, believes in us, and in the way we work to carry on Dr. Pierce's vision. We need hundreds more — all looking creatively for new supporters, whether on the Internet or in daily, real world interactions.

Chairman Williams: Don't worry too much about [Garland Corse]. I realized she was deranged within the first five minutes of meeting her, and so will the judge, jury, and court.

Oh, and by the way, I've been sticking up for you online where people have attacked you and supported NARRG. Turns out most people are noticing the one-sided mudslinging NARRG has been doing towards you (and the Alliance) for no good reason, and reciprocate by giving their support to you and the Alliance after I've made my points. Hopefully I am pushing more people towards membership by breaking apart our enemies' arguments. Cheers, — *M.G., Canada*

Commentary by Chairman William White Williams

Personal Recruiting: Every Member's Duty

What type of White people do we most want to join the National Alliance? Dr. William Pierce and I were discussing this question in 1992 when he had just created the position of Membership Coordinator for me. It was my primary task to act as his Executive Officer and recruit and expand the ranks of dues-paying supporters, and organize many of them into Local Units in the areas where we had a half dozen or more people willing to get together as activist cells.

Of course, we wanted to recruit the best of our people — successful people who were looked upon favorably by others. But I still remember that the only two words Dr. Pierce used to describe the type of members he wanted to recruit were *serious* and *sincere*. That was so simple and so right. In evaluating aspirants or new members, or existing ones, it was relatively easy to judge their seriousness and sincerity — and therefore their leadership potential.

A member recently brought to my attention a National Alliance **BULLETIN** from 1984 that I had not seen before. He scanned it and sent it to me. It contains some of the best advice I have ever seen on personal recruiting, and I want to share it with you now. The article begins by describing how to become a mentor:

Imagine you live in one of the parts of this country where floods occur very rarely. You are at work, and suddenly in rushes Dick, the office rookie and a bit of a "jerk." He says, "Hey, everybody, they're talking about a flood here this afternoon!" Your very first reaction is, "What? There hasn't been a flood in these parts for 45 years!" Your second reaction is, "And look who's saying it. — Dick! I think I'll wait for some more information before I go home and pack my bags."

Now alter the scenario: Instead of Dick the rookie, in comes Frank, the office manager. He goes to the middle of the office floor and says, "Everybody please stop what you are doing. I need your attention. The radio has just announced that a flood is heading towards our city."

Dick is young, green, inexperienced, and not yet respected. He has been late for work several times, has let several people down with promises he could not keep, has had a bill collector call for him at the office, and has no real friends of either sex. When he tries to tell his co-workers something startling, their first reaction is criticism. But when Frank says virtually the same thing, people are inclined to believe him. Why?

Frank is what the Germans call a *Respektsperson*. He is someone you can respect — not just because he is a superior at work, but also because he is an older, wiser person with experience and maturity under his belt. Even the most startling thing, coming from Frank, seems reasonable.

A person who has respect makes a message credible, no matter how startling. An immature and unsteady person can make even a common statement seem suspect. He tells you it is supposed to rain tomorrow, but you can't rely on his word, so you check the weather forecast for yourself.

What is the meaning of this for you as an Alliance recruiter? It means that you, the recruiter, must first be a credible person. The workplace is one of the best places to find recruits, because there you get to know people and they get to know you. Are you a credible person at work? Do you show up on time? Do you work well? Do you get along with others, using tact, or are you immature and headstrong? If you exemplify positive attributes, people will respect you and will be inclined to believe your message. If you have a poor reputation with your co-workers,

then they will take everything you say with a grain of salt.

The same applies in the family circle. Husbands, are you responsible and manly in your actions? Wives, are you responsible and feminine in your actions? Do you have credibility?

At college or in high school, are you a good student, or are you a "good time Charlie"? If you are a "good time Charlie," some students may like you, but that does not mean they respect you or that you have credibility.

Fortunately, most Alliance members do have credibility. Dr. Pierce and the Alliance leadership have been intent now for years on recruiting only superior people into our ranks, and on weeding out questionable types.

As a general rule, if you have personal credibility, you can be a successful recruiter, whether you have a "way with words" or not. But if you lack personal integrity, no amount of eloquence can give you success in recruiting members of the quality the Alliance needs, and it is better that you do two things instead: first, work on yourself to become a more credible person; and second, engage only in mass distribution of Alliance materials. Personal recruiting is not yet for you.

What, after all, is the nature of our message? It is not something startling, like a warning to people on high ground that a flood is coming? Is our message not a demand that people throw out a lifetime of previously held ideas in favor of our own? Are we not saying, "Listen, Pete, I don't know just how to say this, but everything you have heard about race, democracy, the Jews, and the Second World War was either wrong or distorted. Now I would like you to let me tell you the true story."

It is clear that only a respected, credible person can get away with something like this. People today are just too skeptical and cynical, too hard-boiled and suspicious, to accept the word from some stranger from an unknown group. You must have credibility.

On the other hand, let's not exaggerate the difficulties. The United States is visibly going to the dogs. Illegal aliens are pouring in by the millions. The Jewish lobby's existence and power is now known and resented by tens of millions of people. Disgust with politicians is equaled only by disgust with the controlled media. White people know that something is very wrong, and they have a strong suspicion that somebody is not telling the truth. The system, in other words, has begun to lose its credibility. Now, if you, as an Alliance recruiter, can establish your own credibility as a person, then *National Vanguard* and our other publications can finish off the System's credibility for good. But it all starts with establishing your own credibility first.

Now, in addition to our online magazine (at nationalvanguard.org) we have our weekly broadcasts of *American Dissident Voices*, our organizational site natall.com — and, for those who are "high percentage shots" or who may not be on the Internet, there is our excellent booklet, *Building a New White World* (natall.com/booklet) and our CD series *The Power of Truth* (natall.com/cd-offer).

Our beautiful National Alliance business cards (natall.com/cards) are always handy for our members to carry everywhere, for placing wherever good White people may find them, or for giving to a prospective member face-to-face when he indicates he is like-minded.

The article goes on to emphasize the importance of status:

Our goal is not just to communicate without being laughed at. Our goal is to recruit, no matter how many months of patient cultivation it takes. In our recruiting we must be mindful of one fact: Our numbers and our resources are few. They are limited. We do not have time to waste on "iffy" prospects, on people who might come around someday if we spend five years talking with them. (Of course, if you are locked in an employment or marriage situation, then spend whatever time is necessary on those about you. You have, after all, no place else to go.)

It is important, in view of our limited strength, that we target only the most likely and best recruits. Now we know what "best" means: good racial stock, sturdy character, intelligence, etc. But who are the most likely recruits?

THE MAN IN THE ARENA

"IT IS NOT THE CRITIC WHO COUNTS; NOT THE MAN WHO POINTS OUT HOW THE STRONG MAN STUMBLES, OR WHERE THE DOER OF DEEDS COULD HAVE DONE THEM BETTER. THE CREDIT BELONGS TO THE MAN WHO IS ACTUALLY IN THE ARENA, WHOSE FACE IS MARRED BY DUST AND SWEAT AND BLOOD; WHO STRIVES VALIANTLY; WHO ERRS, WHO COMES SHORT AGAIN AND AGAIN, BECAUSE THERE IS NO EFFORT WITHOUT ERROR AND SHORTCOMING; BUT WHO DOES ACTUALLY STRIVE TO DO THE DEEDS; WHO KNOWS GREAT ENTHUSIASMS, THE GREAT DEVOTIONS; WHO SPENDS HIMSELF IN A WORTHY CAUSE; WHO AT THE BEST KNOWS IN THE END THE TRIUMPH OF HIGH ACHIEVEMENT, AND WHO AT THE WORST, IF HE FAILS, AT LEAST FAILS WHILE DARING GREATLY, SO THAT HIS PLACE SHALL NEVER BE WITH THOSE COLD AND TIMID SOULS WHO NEITHER KNOW VICTORY NOR DEFEAT."

Theodore Roosevelt

The answer comes from the nature of the relationship between recruit and recruiter. It is the relationship between a pupil and his or her teacher.

Respect is something which can exist between equals. The recruiter, however, is someone to whom the recruit looks up — someone the recruit accepts as higher, wiser, more informed, and more experienced than he: someone he is willing to accept as a tutor, a guide, a mentor.

It has to be said that no society is more status conscious than a middle-class society. There is, after all, no hereditary aristocracy — and America certainly has no true intellectual aristocracy to whom the masses look up. What people respect in a middle-class or bourgeois society such as ours, and what they look up to, is success in mundane things, status in the everyday aspects of life. If you have a Mercedes, the man in the Buick will look up to you — sad, but true. If you have a house, and he has a smaller house or an apartment, he will look up to you. If you went to Harvard, and he went to French Lick Community College, he will mentally tip his hat in your presence.

Furthermore, if you are married with children, and he is married with no children, he may well admire you as someone further along in life, particularly if your children are comely and well behaved. If he is single, and you are merely married without offspring, you still have "one leg up" on him.

If you are his boss or of higher rank or bigger or better looking or richer or bolder or luckier, he is more likely to look up to you and give additional credence to what you say. It is sad that today people have no moral and spiritual betters to look to, but the desire to look to one's apparent betters is a deeply ingrained, natural phenomenon which is found in all social animals.

In our recruiting, let us never forget that we must deal with people as they are. Once they are recruited, we can better them. But the fact is that your ideas from *National Vanguard*, by themselves, is not what will convert them. It is you

and your status advantage over them. Your status advantage, your position as a mentor, is what will open the door. If you have not opened the door, you can talk all you want, and the object of your attentions will just become annoyed.

The practical implication of these long known insights is that you should, in your own personal recruiting, target those individuals who are at most equal to you, and preferably below you, in status. Think over in your mind, "Who am I better educated, more highly ranked, more affluent, older, wiser, or generally more successful than?" This group contains your most likely recruits.

Of course, we are using some "psychology" here. But we have to — the Enemy certainly is using psychology. It is no accident that the ranks of the psychologists and psychiatrists are full of Rosenbaums and Goldenzweigs. The Enemy's use of the media involves all sorts of psychological tricks — including the careful cultivation of "credible" spokesmen and insidious appeals to the average person's status consciousness. Because the ideological battle is being fought on both a factual and an emotional level, we also must become students of mankind, connoisseurs of "what makes people tick" and appraisers of character.

It really comes down to what was touched on in the previous **BULLETIN**: Recruiting is like spiritual parenthood. If your own children look up to you, they will listen to your words. So find the people who already look up to you — repeat, already look up to you — and give them the benefit of your words. And do it with the confidence that you are an agent of the Creator's purpose in a world darkened by ignorance.

We have some truly outstanding people in our ranks now, but not enough.

One of my attorney friends read the words in the box on the preceding page to me the other day. It's an excerpt from a speech given by Theodore Roosevelt while in France in 1910.

"The man in the arena" typifies the sort of bold, dedicated Alliance member needed today in these desperate times. He is serious and he is sincere. You will know him when you see him. He "spends himself in a worthy cause."

Can there be a cause more worthy, more noble, more necessary than our cause?

W. W. W.